

LIMIT THEOREM FOR SUMS OF RANDOM PRODUCTS

O. KHORUNZHIY*

Laboratoire de Mathématiques
Université de Versailles – Saint-Quentin
Versailles 78035, FRANCE

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We study asymptotic behavior of the sums $Z_{N,m}(\beta)$ determined by the formula

$$Z_{N,m}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^{(m)}} \exp\{\beta \eta_{i,j}\},$$

where $\{\lambda_i^{(m)}\}$ and $\{\eta_{i,j}\}$ are jointly independent random variables such that their laws do not depend on the subscripts. We assume that $\lambda_i^{(m)}$ take values $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the mathematical expectations are $\mathbf{E} \lambda^{(m)} = m$ and $\mathbf{E} \eta = 0$. The random variable $f^{(\beta)}(N, m) = -(\beta m)^{-1} \log Z_{N,m}(\beta)$ can be regarded as the free energy of one more generalization of the Random Energy Model (REM) widely known in statistical mechanics. Imposing natural restrictions on the laws of λ and η , we prove existence of the limit $f^{(\beta)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{(\beta)}(2^m, m)$ and obtain explicit expression for $f^{(\beta)}$. We study properties of $f^{(\beta)}$ and compare our results with those obtained for REM and the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem.

1 Introduction

The Random Energy Model (REM) was proposed by B. Derrida [7] as a simplified version of the spin glasses (here the main reference is [15]). The statistical sum of REM can be represented by expression

$$Z_{N,n}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{i,j}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\{\beta \eta_{i,j}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

*khorunjy@math.uvsq.fr

where $\{\eta_{i,j}\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with zero mean value $\mathbf{E}\eta = 0$. Under assumption that $\mathbf{E}e^{t\eta}$ exists, it was shown that in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, $N = 2^n$ there is a critical value β_c that separates two different regimes of the asymptotic behavior of the free energy $f^{(\beta)}(N, n) = -\frac{1}{\beta n} \log Z_{N,n}(\beta)$ [7].

Almost at the same time, another model was considered [14], where (1.1) was assigned a meaning completely different from that of [7]. In [14] $Z_{N,n}$ represents the transparency of disordered layer in quasi one-dimensional case of quantum particles. Such a layer is composed of N threads of the length n , each thread contains a random number of obstacles. The particle goes along the thread and in the case of low enough energy of the particle, the probability of passing through the thread decreases exponentially with the distance. However, if one takes N exponentially large with respect to n , one can see some of the particles hitting the other side of the layer. The number of such particles depends on the probability distribution of the obstacles.

In papers [13, 14] this model has been rather deeply explored. Several asymptotic expressions were obtained for various limiting approximations. In particular, the decrement of transparency $f^{(1)}(N, n)$ was studied in [13] with $N = e^{qn}$. Assuming that the probability of large deviations of the sum $\sum_j \eta_{i,j}$ is determined by a function ϕ , the authors of [13] derived an explicit expression for the limiting effective decrement $f^{(1)}(q)$ in terms of ϕ ;

$$f^{(1)}(q) = \inf_{\phi(x) \leq q} \{\phi(x) - x\} - q. \quad (1.2)$$

More rigorous derivation of this formula was given in [19]. The resulting conclusion coming from (1.2) is that this model possesses a critical value q_{cr} , where $f^{(1)}(q)$ changes its analytical structure. It is easy to see that $q_{cr} = \phi(x_0)$, where x_0 is determined by equality $\phi'(x_0) = 1$. Certainly, q_{cr} is in one-to-one correspondence with β_c of [7].

Regarding the model of N threads of the length n [14], it is natural to ask what will happen in the case, when the length of the threads is distributed at random. In this paper we study this generalization of the REM.

In Section 2 we describe the model and formulate results. In Section 3 we prove the main propositions and derive explicit expression for the free energy that generalizes (1.2). Relations between REM and the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem are considered in Section 4. In Section 5 we discuss critical values of q in our generalization of REM and describe some other generalizations of REM related to the version studied in present paper.

2 The model and main results

Let us consider the family $\mathcal{N} = \{\eta_{i,j}, i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of independent identically distributed random variables determined on the same probability space Ω . We assume that

$\mathbf{E} \eta_{i,j} = 0$ and that there exists integral

$$\mathbf{E} e^{t\eta_{i,j}} = e^{\varphi(t)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in I \subset [0, \infty), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^{(\mathcal{N})}$ denotes the mathematical expectation with respect to the measure generated by the family $\mathcal{N}$. We denote by $\phi(x)$ the Fenchel-Legendre (or Cramer) transform of $\varphi(t)$ [8];

$$\phi(x) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{xt - \varphi(t)\}.$$

Let us also introduce a family $\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda_i^{(m)}, i, m \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of independent random variables also independent from $\mathcal{N}$. We assume that these random variables take integer non-negative values and their distributions do not depend on i ;

$$\Pr\{\lambda_i^{(m)} = l\} = p_l^{(m)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We denote the mathematical expectation $\mathbf{E}^{(\mathcal{L})}$ by the same sign $\mathbf{E}$. We assume that $\mathbf{E} \lambda_i^{(m)} = m$ and there exists such a function $\chi(t)$ that

$$\mathbf{E} e^{t\lambda_i^{(m)}} = e^{m\chi(t)(1+o(1))}, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

We denote $\psi(s) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{st - \chi(t)\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let us consider the normalized sum*

$$\hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^{(m)}} \exp\{\beta \eta_{i,j}\} \quad (2.3)$$

and determine random variable

$$\hat{f}^{(\beta)}(N, m) = \frac{1}{\beta m} \log \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta).$$

If $N_q = \lfloor e^{qm} \rfloor$, $q > 0$ is the integer nearest to e^{qm} , then with probability 1

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}^{(\beta)}(N_q, m) = \hat{f}^{(\beta)}(q), \quad (2.4)$$

where the limiting $\hat{f}^{(\beta)}(q)$ is determined by relations

$$\hat{f}^{(\beta)}(q) = \frac{1}{\beta} \inf_{\nu(x) \leq q} \{\nu(x) - \beta x\}, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\nu(x) = \inf_{y \geq 0} \left[\psi(y) + y\phi\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Let us compare this result with those obtained for REM (1.2). First it should be noted that in each of these models the parameters β and q are almost equivalent. Indeed, if one divides both parts of (2.5) by β , then one obtains (1.2) with q replaced by βq . This means that to study the properties of the limit (2.4), we can restrict ourselves with $\beta = 1$ and consider (cf. (1.2))

$$\hat{f}(q) = \inf_{\nu(x) \leq q} \{\nu(x) - x\}. \quad (2.7)$$

However, in (2.5) we prefer to keep these two parameters present in order to study the transition from REM to Erdős-Rényi partial sums (see Section 4).

The second observation that relates REM and Erdős-Rényi sums concerns function $\nu(x)$ (2.6). It has already appeared [12] in the studies of the stochastic version of the ER limit theorem (see relation (4.5) at the end of this paper). This transformation can be regarded as a convolution of two functions $\psi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$. We study its properties in Section 4. Let us just note here that if one determines $\psi(x)$ as

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1), \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

then expression in square brackets in (2.6) is determined for $0 \leq y \leq 1$ and in this case $\nu(x) = \phi(x)$.

Finally, let us note that function $\nu(x)$ determines the probability of large deviations of the random variable

$$R_{i,m} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i^{(m)}} \eta_{i,j}. \quad (2.9)$$

Representation of $\nu(x)$ different from (2.6) can be found, for example, in [5, p.52] or [16]. This reveals once more relations of the REM with the theory of large deviations [8] and makes hints to some other generalizations of REM (see Section 5). Certainly, appearance of the critical values q_{cr} in the both of the models (1.1) and (2.3) is due to the restrictions $\phi(x) \leq q$ and $\nu(x) \leq q$, respectively. This is what makes the difference between REM and the standard theory of large deviations.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We follow the reasoning of [19] modified along the lines indicated in [12]. Let us start with the estimate from below. It is clear that for any $x > 0$

$$\hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) \geq e^{\beta mx} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{l \geq 1} \mathbf{I}\{\lambda_i^{(m)} = l\} \cdot \mathbf{I}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^l \eta_{i,j} \geq mx\right\} \equiv e^{\beta mx} \mu(N, m; x), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathbf{I}\{A\}$ is the indicator function of the event $A \subset \Omega$. The estimates of Z from above and below are based on the properties of $\mu(x) = \mu(N, m; x)$. So, let us study this variable in more details.

3.1 Properties of μ

Let us start with the average value of μ . Regarding independence of η and λ , we obtain equality

$$\mathbf{E} \mu(N, m; x) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \Pr\{\lambda_i^{(m)} = l\} \cdot \Pr\left\{\sum_{j=1}^l \eta_{i,j} \geq mx\right\} = S(x).$$

Applying to the last probability relation proved by Bahadur and Ranga Rao [1], we can write that

$$S(x) = \sum_{l \geq 1} p_l^{(m)} \exp\left\{-m \frac{l}{m} \phi\left(x \frac{m}{l}\right)(1 + o(1))\right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let y' and δ be such that $\nu(x) = \psi(y') + y' \phi(x/y')$ and $y' - \delta \geq x$. The function $y \phi(x/y)$ is monotone and we can write inequality

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &\geq \sum_{l \geq m(y' - \delta)} p_l^{(m)} \exp\left\{-m \frac{l}{m} \phi\left(x \frac{m}{l}\right)(1 + o(1))\right\} \geq \\ &\exp\left\{-m(y' - \delta) \phi\left(\frac{x}{y' - \delta}\right)(1 + o(1))\right\} \sum_{l \geq m(y' - \delta)} p_l^{(m)} = \\ &\exp\left\{-m[\psi(y' - \delta) + (y' - \delta) \phi\left(\frac{x}{y' - \delta}\right)(1 + o(1))]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used relation

$$\sum_{l \geq mt} p_l^{(m)} = e^{-m\psi(t)(1+o(1))}$$

that follows from (2.2) by the Gärtner-Ellis theorem (see e.g. [8]). Now it is easy to see that given $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find such δ that

$$S(x) \geq e^{-m\nu(x)(1+\varepsilon)}. \quad (3.3)$$

From another hand, inequality

$$S(x) \leq e^{-m\nu(x)(1-\varepsilon)} \quad (3.4)$$

also holds. This follows from the observation that the sum in (3.2) is of the order of the sum

$$\sum_{m(y' - \delta) \leq l \leq m(y' + \delta)} p_l^{(m)} \exp\left\{-m \frac{l}{m} \phi\left(x \frac{m}{l}\right)(1 + o(1))\right\} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\{-m(y' + \delta)\phi(\frac{x}{y' + \delta})(1 + o(1))\} \sum_{m(y' - \delta) \leq l \leq m(y' + \delta)} q_l^{(m)} = \\ \exp\{-m[\psi(y' - \delta) + (y' + \delta)\phi(\frac{x}{y' + \delta})](1 + o(1))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.4) follows from continuity of the functions ψ and ϕ . Therefore, we can write that

$$S(x) = e^{-m\nu(x)(1+o(1))} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

We complete this subsection with computation of the variance of $\mu = \mu(N, m; x)$. It is easy to find that

$$\mathbf{E} \mu^2 - [\mathbf{E} \mu]^2 = -\frac{1}{N} S^2 + \frac{1}{N} S = S^2 \left(-\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{NS} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where we denoted $S = S(x)$.

3.2 Estimates of $\hat{Z}$

Turning back to (3.1) and using (3.3), we can write that

$$\frac{1}{m} \log \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) \geq -\{\nu(x) - \beta x\} + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\mu(x)}{S(x)}. \quad (3.7)$$

We have parameter x in our disposition to find the most precise estimate. The computations that follow will show that such an estimate is obtained when we take the minimal value of the sum $F(x) = \nu(x) - \beta x$ over x satisfying condition $\nu(x) \leq q$. Let us denote by x' the value of x that provides this minimum.

Since we assume all functions entering (2.5) to be continuous, then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists such $\tilde{x} < x'$ that $x' - \tilde{x} > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $F(\tilde{x}) - F(x') < \varepsilon$. Then we derive from (3.7) that

$$\frac{1}{m} \log \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) \geq -F(\tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\mu(\tilde{x})}{S(\tilde{x})}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we turn to the estimate from above. To do this, let us first show that if x' is such that $\nu(x') > q$, then with probability 1 all random variables $\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \eta_{i,j}$ are bounded by mx' . Indeed, denoting

$$A = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \left\{ \omega : \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \eta_{i,j} < mx' \right\},$$

we can write that

$$\Pr(A) = [1 - \Pr\{\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \eta_{i,j} \geq mx\}]^N = [1 - S(x')]^N = [1 - e^{-m\nu(x')}]^{mq}.$$

Then our proposition follows and $\hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) \leq e^{m\beta x'}$ with probability 1.

Let us cover the interval $(0, x' - \delta)$, where δ is as in (3.8), by M intervals (a_l, a_{l+1}) of the length r/M , $r = \nu(x' - \delta)$ with $a_0 = 0, a_M = r$, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{m\beta a_l} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{\lambda_i^{(m)}} \eta_{i,j} \in (a_l, a_{l+1}) \right\} \leq \\ &\sum_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{m\beta a_l} \mu(N, m; a_l) = \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} e^{m\beta a_l} e^{-m\nu(a_l)(1+o(1))} \frac{\mu(a_l)}{S(a_l)} \leq \\ &e^{-m \inf_l [\nu(a_l) - \beta a_l](1+o(1))} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \frac{\mu(a_l)}{S(a_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combinig this inequality with (3.8), we can write that

$$\left| \frac{1}{m} \log \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) + F(\tilde{x}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m} \log \left\{ M \max_l \frac{\mu(a_l)}{S(a_l)} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

The final remark concerns the difference $\frac{\mu(\tilde{x})}{S(\tilde{x})} - 1$ that is small with probability 1. This follows from the estimate (3.6) and the observation that $N = e^{qm}$ and $NS(\tilde{x}) = m^{q-\nu(\tilde{x})} = O(e^{\delta m})$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2.1 is proved.

4 Relations with Erdős-Rényi limit theorem

Let us rewrite definition (2.3) in the form

$$\hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{\beta R_{i,m}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $R_{i,m}$ are given by (2.9). The presence of the exponential function in the sum of (4.1) gives more weight to those terms that have maximal value of $R_{i,m}$. Then one can say that $\hat{Z}$ (4.1) represents an *arithmetic mean of maximums* of random variables $e^{\beta R_i}$.

From another hand, the *maximum of arithmetic mean values* of random variables is known in probability theory [10]. Namely, the sums

$$R_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_{i+j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N - n \quad (4.2)$$

are referred to as the partial sums of N random variables η_i , and the limit theorem of Erdős-Rényi establishes existence of the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i=1, \dots, N-n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} R_i^{(n)} \right\} = \gamma_q, \quad N = \lfloor e^{qn} \rfloor. \quad (4.3)$$

The limit $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma_q$ is such that $\phi(\bar{\gamma}) = q$ [10], where ϕ is determined by φ (2.1) and one takes $\eta_{i,j} = \eta_{i+j}$ [10]. If one replaces n by $\lambda_i^{(m)}$ and by m in (4.2) and (4.3), respectively, then one obtains random variables $R_{i,m}$ that generalize partial sums (4.2). A result analogous to the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem can be proved for $R_{i,m}$. Namely, it is shown in [12] that the random variables

$$X_{N,m} = \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} R_{i,m} \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

converges with probability 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $N = \lfloor e^{qm} \rfloor$ to a limit $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_q(\phi, \psi)$ determined by relation

$$\inf_{y \geq 0} \left[\psi(y) + y\phi\left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{y}\right) \right] = q. \quad (4.5)$$

Taking into account these results, it is natural to ask what is the model that interpolates two random variables: the statistical sum $\hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta)$ of REM (4.1) and the partial sums $X_{N,m}$ of ER limit theorem. It is easy to see that the random variable

$$Y_{N,m}^{(k)}(\beta) = \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (e^{\beta R_{i,m}})^{\frac{k}{m}} \right]^{1/k} \quad (4.6)$$

represents of the possible interpolations needed.

Indeed, if $k = m$, then the random variable $\log Y_{N,m}^{(m)}(\beta) = -\beta f^{(\beta)}(N, m)$ converges to $-\beta f^{(\beta)}(q)$ (2.4) as $m \rightarrow \infty$. In the case of $k \gg m$ one can expect $Y_{N,m}^{(\beta)}$ to be close to $\exp\{X_{N,m}(q)\}$. In the general case, one can prove the following proposition.

Theorem 4.1

If one considers (4.6) in the limit $k, m \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/m \rightarrow \alpha, k \geq m$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1

$$\lim_m \log Y_{N,m}(k)(\beta) = - \inf_{\nu(x) \leq q} \left\{ \frac{\nu(x)}{\alpha} - \beta x \right\}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\nu(x)$ is determined by relation (2.6). If $k \gg m$, then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log Y_{N,m}^{(k)}(\beta) = - \inf_{\nu(x) \leq q} \{-\beta x\} = \beta \nu^{-1}(q). \quad (4.8)$$

We do not present the proof of Theorem 4.1 because it does not differ much from the proof of Theorem 2.1.

The meaning of this theorem is very simple. When introducing k into (4.6), one changes the value of β in expression $1/\beta \log \hat{Z}_{N,m}(\beta)$. From this point of view, the

second limiting transition of Theorem 4.1 $k/m \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the limiting transition of (2.4) with $m \rightarrow \infty, \beta \rightarrow \infty$. Certainly, this limit leads one to the maximum of partial sums of the Erdős-Rényi type; that is proved in Theorem 4.1.

The Erdős-Rényi limit theorem is studied in many aspects (see, for example, [5, 6, 17] and references therein) and in a series of applications [3, 21]. Its relation with the REM can be useful in further studies.

Let us study properties of function $\nu(x)$ (2.6). To do this, it is convenient to consider the simplest case

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1) , \\ x(\log x - 1) + 1, & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

that corresponds to the Poissonian distribution of $\lambda^{(m)}$. It is easy to see that $\nu(x)$ is positive, monotone increasing function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \nu(x) = 0$.

Also, it is not hard to show that $\nu(x) \leq \phi(x)$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \nu^{-1}(q) \geq \phi^{-1}(q) = \bar{\gamma}$. This inequality can be easily explained by the observation that the presence of additional "randomness" in the sums (4.2) with respect to the sums (4.3) increases the value of the maximum in the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem. In this connection, it is interesting to study the difference between the critical values of q determined by (1.2) and (2.7), respectively.

5 Discussion

We have studied a version of the Random Energy Model [7, 13, 14]. The generalization of (1.1) is to replace the given number n of factors by a random variable λ_i . Let us describe here how this model arises from the original arguments presented in [4]. There (1.1) has been considered as the statistical sum of the polymer chains determined as branches of the Cayley tree. The energy of a chain is given by the sum of random weights along the corresponding branch. If the tree has the cardinality number K , then it is natural to consider (1.1) with $N = K^n$. A version of the sparse random matrices has been proposed to describe this model. In this model, one considers the product of n matrices B_i of dimensions $N \times N$; the matrices are such that there are exactly K non-zero elements in each line, and the positions of non-zero elements are chosen at random at each B_i .

It should be noted that in random graph theory, another ensemble of sparse random matrices arises. Namely, the most studied model of the random graph is such that in a graph with N vertices [2], each edge is present with probability m/N or absent with probability $1 - m/N$. In this case the adjacency matrix A of the graph is a real symmetric matrix of dimension $N \times N$ and each its element above the diagonal is non-zero with probability m/N and zero with probability $1 - m/N$; then $(A)_{ij} = a_{ij}, i \leq j$ are independent random variables such that

$$a_{ij}^{(m,N)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } \frac{m}{N} , \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{m}{N} . \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Obviously, in such a matrix the average number of non-zero elements per line is m .

When regarding the model of polymer chains with matrices B replaced by A , one can easily see that the length of the polymer chain is given by a random variable. Thus, we obtain a generalization of REM (1.1), where the parameter n is replaced by random variables λ_i with mathematical expectation m . Since the fixed value of n is replaced by a random variable, the fluctuations of the energy are greater than that of the REM. This implies inequality $\nu(x) \leq \phi(x)$ mentioned at the end of Section 4. Then the limiting value of the maximum in the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem increases.

It is natural to expect the critical value $\hat{q}_{cr}$ determined by (2.7) to be greater than q_{cr} . This conjecture can be supported by some particular cases of ϕ and ψ with large values of β . However, $\hat{q}_{cr}$ in the general case, as well as the properties of the convolution $\nu(x)$ are to be studied.

Let us discuss two more generalizations of (1.1). The first one resembles the sparse random matrices [11] that also can be considered as a weighted adjacency matrices of a random graphs. One of the possible model here is obtained when the random variables $R_{i,m}$ (2.9) are replaced by

$$R_{i,m}^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j}^{(m,N)} \eta_{i,j} .$$

This generalization can be treated by the same way as it is done in Section 3. More interesting situation arises when one considers

$$R_{i,m}^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j}^{(m,N)} \eta_j ,$$

where $\{\eta_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a family of independent random variables (4.2). However, in this case R_i are correlated that makes analysis of corresponding free energy more difficult.

As it is pointed out, in the case of jointly independent random variables $R_{i,m}$, the limiting expression (2.7) is determined by the function $\nu(x)$ controlling probability of large deviations of $R_{i,m}$. Therefore, if one replaces $R_{i,m}$ by a family of N independent random processes $\mathcal{R}_i(t)$, the crucial role in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, $N = \lfloor e^{qt} \rfloor$ will be played by their large values. It would be interesting to derive expressions analogous to (2.7) and determine the critical values of q in this model.

At last, let us repeat that we have traced out relations between the limit theorem of REM with that of Erdős-Rényi. In fact, the corresponding result (see Theorem 4.1) is familiar and almost evident to physicists. This is because the case when we obtain Erdős-Rényi expression from (4.1) corresponds to the limiting transition $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ that immediately leads one to the maximum of partial sums of random variables. Up to our knowledge, this correspondence has not been stated before in explicit form. The sums $\sum_{i=1}^{N-n} e^{\beta R_i^{(n)}}$ have been considered in [22] in connection with Erdős-Rényi limit theorem, but the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ has not been regarded there.

References

- [1] R.R. Bahadur and R. Ranga Rao. On deviations of the sample mean, *Ann. Mathem. Statist.*, **31** (1960) 1015-1027
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, Acad. Press, New York (1985)
- [3] F.M. Comets. Erdős-Rényi laws for Gibbs measures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **162** (1994) 353–369
- [4] J. Cook and B. Derrida. Lyapounov exponents of large, sparse random matrices and the problem of directed polymers with complex weights *J. Stat. Phys.* **61** (1990) 961-968
- [5] P. Deheuvels, L. Devroye. Limit laws of Erdős-Rényi-Shepp type, *Ann. Probab.* **15** (1987) 1363–1386
- [6] P. Deheuvels, L. Devroye, J. Lynch. Exact convergence rate in the limit theorems of Erdős-Rényi and Shepp, *Ann. Probab.* **14** (1986) 209-223
- [7] B. Derrida. Random-energy model: Limit of a family of disordered models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45** (1980) 79-82;
B. Derrida. Random-energy model: An exactly solvable model of disordered systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **24** (1981) 2613-2626
- [8] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1993), 2d ed.
- [9] T. Eisele. On a third order phase transition, *Commun. Math. Phys.* , **90** (1983) 125-159
- [10] P. Erdős, A. Rényi. On a new law of large numbers, *J. Analyse Mathématique*, **23** (1970) 103-111
- [11] A. Khorunzhy. Sparse random matrices: spectral edge and statistics of rooted trees, *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **33** (2001) 124-140
- [12] A. Khorunzhy. On stochastic version of the Erdős-Rényi limit theorem, to appear in: *Probab. and Mathem. Statistics* (2002)
- [13] I.M. Lifshitz, S.A. Gredeskul, L.A. Pastur. Theory of passage of particles and waves through randomly inhomogeneous media *Zhurnal Eksp. Teor. Fiziki* , **83** (1982) 2362-2376 (in Russian)
- [14] I.M. Lifshitz, V.Ya. Kirpichenkov. On the tunnel transparency of disordered systems, *Zhurnal Eksp. Teor. Fiziki* , **77** (1979) 989 (in Russian)
- [15] M. Mézard, G. Parisi, M. Virasoro, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond*, World Scientific, Singapore (1987)

- [16] H. Mita. Probabilities of large deviatopns for sums of random number of i.i.d. random variables and its application to a compound Poisson process, *Tokyo J. Math.* **20** (1997) 353-364
- [17] S. Yu. Novak. On the distribution of the maximum of Erdős-Rnyi partial sums, (Russian) *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **42** (1997), no. 2, 274–293 (translation in *Theory Probab. Appl.* **42** (1997), no. 2, 254–270)
- [18] E. Olivieri, P. Picco. On the existence of thermodynamics for the random energy model, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **96** (1984) 125-144
- [19] L. Pastur. A limit theorem for sums of exponentials, (Russian) *Matem. Zametki*, **46** (1989) 50-57, (translation in *Mathem. Notes*, **46** (1989) 712-716)
- [20] D. Ruelle. A mathematical reformulation of Derrida’s REM and GREM, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **198** (1987) 225-239
- [21] M. Ruzinkó and P. Vanroose, How an Erdős-Rényi-type search approach gives an explicit code construction of rate 1 for random access with multiplicity feedback. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 43 (1997), no. 1, 368–373.
- [22] N. Torrent, *Applications des grandes déviations et de la loi d’Erdős-Rényi pour les variables indépendantes ou de dépendance markovienne*, Thèse de Doctorat de l’Université Paris-VII (1998)